The New Student Centered Funding Formula: Unraveling the Complexities Behind It

District Opening Day

September 19, 2019

Susan Cheu, Interim Vice Chancellor of Business Services



Why is it Important to Understand the Community College Funding Process?

- Informed decision-making through shared governance process
 - Allocation of funds
 - Expectations of state
- Impact of outside economic effects on local campuses
- As voters, affect the focus of the legislative representatives
- Responsibility to effectively and efficiently use taxpayer money

To do this we will discuss...

- History of funding process in the community college system
- Reasons for changing to a performance-based funding system
- Details of the Student Centered Funding Formula
- Individual impact on potential funding generated by the District



History of Community College Funding

- The system was originally part of the Kindergarten – Grade 12 system and funded based on Average Daily Attendance (ADA)
 - Primary funding source was property tax
 - Local control of funding and activities
 - Often part of high school districts
 - -Caps placed on Growth

History of Community College Funding

• 1970's

- Proposition 13 shifted funding from locally generated to state allocated
- Resulted in limitations and local control



History of Community College Funding (continued)

• 1980's

- Student enrollment fees assessed
 - Did not increase overall funding
- AB1725 introduced program based funding

• 2000's - SB361

- Intended to stabilize system
- Student enrollment fees reduced
- Equalization of resources for districts
 - Basic allocation based on size
 - Credit versus non-credit
- More stable source of funding would allow for long-term planning

Sources of General Fund Apportionment

Funding originally from three sources:

- Enrollment fees
- Property tax
- General State apportionment

In 2012, Educational Protection Act (EPA) funds were added as part of the General State apportionment.

Previous General Fund Allocation Process

- SB361 had two main components of funding:
 - 1. Base allocation as determined by size
 - Number of FTES generated
 - Three types of FTES rates Credit, Non-Credit and Career Development and College Preparation (CDCP)
- Funding amount based Full Time Equivalent Students (FTES)
 - Straightforward to forecast
 - Easy to validate
- Protection due to stability and restoration

If SB361 addressed funding issues, then why implement the SCFF?

- Length of time required for students to complete degrees or certificates, if they completed at all
- Achievement gaps were not decreasing and greater support needed for most vulnerable students
- Districts not being adequately compensated for additional services required
- Stagnant enrollment with rising costs not being funded

What is the Student Centered Funding Formula?

- Chancellor's Office Vision for Success is the driving factor
 - Access, equity and success
- Three-pronged approach for General Fund credit funding:
 - Base Allocation (70% -> 60%) Access
 - Supplemental Allocation (20%) Equity
 - Student Success Allocation (10% -> 20%) Success
- Non-credit remains funded per FTES
- Special rates for certain types of FTES
 - Career Development and Career Preparation (CDCP)
 - Special Admit
 - Students in correctional facilities

Core Commitments of Vision for Success

- Focus relentlessly on students' end goals
- Always design and decide with the student in mind
- Pair high expectations with high support
- Foster the use of data, inquiry and evidence
- Take ownership of goals and performance
- Enable action and thoughtful innovation
- Lead the work of partnering across systems

Goals of Vision for Success by 2022

- Increase by 20% number of students acquiring associate degree, credential, certificate or skills to prepare them for an in-demand job.
- Increase transfer rate to UC or CSU by 35%
- Decrease average number of units accumulated to earn associate degree
- Increase percent of exiting Career Technical Education (CTE) students employed in field of study
- Reduce equity gaps in these measures
- Reduce regional achievement gaps across all measures

Original Components of the SCFF

- Basic, Supplemental and Success Metrics
- Phased in approach for three portions
 - Year 1: 70/20/10 (FY18/19)
 - Year 2: 65/20/15 (FY19/20)
 - Year 3: 60/20/20 (FY20/21)
- Hold Harmless portion for first three years
- Unlimited growth for Success portion
 - Encourage districts to focus on efforts that would provide attainment of Vision for Success commitments and goals
 - Measures for metrics to be determined

SCFF - Base Allocation

- 70% -> 60% of total calculation
- Funded based on FTES, but...
 - Three-year averaging to smooth out ups and downs
 - Some exclusions to count
 - CDCP
 - Special Admit
 - Students in correctional facilities
 - Eventually constraint on growth in FTES implemented

<u>Supplemental Allocation – 20%</u>

- Points are given based on the number of students served who are recipients of:
 - -Pell Grant
 - -AB540
 - California College Promise Grants
- Based on prior year headcounts, leading to delay in affecting growth or decline
- Not adjusted for different cost-of-living situations throughout the state

Student Success Allocation – 10 -> 20%

- Measurement of eight outcomes
 - Associate degrees for transfer (AD-T)
 - 2. Associate degrees excluding transfer
 - 3. Baccalaureate degrees
 - 4. Credit certificates (16 or more semester units)
 - Completion of transfer level math and english within first academic year
 - 6. Transfer to a four-year university
 - 7. Completion of nine or more CTE semester units
 - 8. Attainment of regional living wage
- Categories weighted not all have the same funding amount
- Assigned points per category and funded on a per point basis
- Additional points for Pell and Promise students
- Based on prior year achievements
- In FY19/20 enacting three-year averaging

Complexities of the SCFF

- Changes to process as new formula has been implemented
 - Delay in transition from 70/20/10 to 60/20/20
 - Additional year of hold harmless
 - Identification of data sources
 - Definition of transfer
 - Clarification of which students are included in the metric counts
- Continuing refinement of success metrics, such as:
 - Changing to a three-year average
 - How is regional living wage defined? If a student earns a degree in the Bay Area but moves to a Central Valley location, what is the basis?
 - If a student studies at multiple locations, which entity gets credit for transfer?
 - If a student gets multiple degrees or certificates, how are they counted?
- In addition to existing changes, Oversight Committee is expected to provide more recommendations in January 2020.

SCFF - How You Can Help!

 Primary focus of all efforts should be assisting our students in attaining their goals

 Within the confines of the SCFF student success metrics, it is possible to do that and also assist the District with maximizing revenue opportunities of the SCFF

 Hopefully both of these activities will help to achieve everyone's goals!

Base and Supplemental

- FTES a large component both as part of the base and a ripple effect of the available pool for the Supplemental and Success counts
- Growth of FTES
 - Thinking "outside the box" for ways to meet student needs
 - Providing services to allow students to remain dedicated to studies
 - Providing a comfortable physical or virtual environment
- Ensuring students are aware of their options for funding
 - Free two-years requires FAFSA application
- Providing an overall supportive and engaging environment for students

Completion of Degree or Certificate

- The intent is to encourage students to attain "milestone" accomplishments as they move through their educational process
- Even if student studied at another institution, the district awarding the degree receives the credit
- Students who transfer but do not apply for degree will only be counted in transfer metric (if applicable)
- Associate Degree for Transfer (AD-T) weighted higher
- Highest level earned in a single academic year
 - Student can be counted more than once if degree or certificate awarded in different year

Attainment of Degree or Certificate (continued)

- Guided Pathways component process to achieve success
 - Student centered approach to increase number of students acquiring credentials while closing the equity gap
 - Integration of various support services such as Student Equity and Achievement Program, Strong Workforce and Promise Grants
 - Clear, educationally coherent programs or "maps" that are aligned with four-year institutions and labor market requirements

Completion of Transfer Level Math and English

- Intent is to encourage students to complete basic requirements more quickly
 - Higher level of requirement than AB705 since it specifies first year of matriculation
- Must be completed at same institution
- Must be completed within first academic year (Summer to Spring)
 - If a student starts in Spring, they would need to complete both Math and English to include them in the count
 - Special Admit students do not count
 - Non-resident may also be excluded

Transfer to a Four-Year Institution

- Intent is to increase number or students continuing to a four-year institution
- Providing support to remain in institution
- Ensuring students are aware of services available to enable them to maintain full-time status
- Guided Pathways Creating clear and efficient ways to attain their degrees or certificate
- Ensuring curriculum is available to complete all courses in the District

Completion of Nine or more CTE Units

- Intent is to increase number of people with job skills needed by local employers as well as improving earning potential
- Considered a key milestone in student success
- Does not need to be in the same discipline
- Classes need to be taken at same District

Attainment of Regional Living Wage

- Intent is to ensure that students are not only attaining their desired credential or job skill, but are able to earn an appropriate wage
- Not possible for District to track or monitor independently
- Based on primary county information
 - District Office location determines county
 - FHDA is Santa Clara County
- Based on single adult earnings
 - Not adjusted for family status
 - Not adjusted for where the student was actually living but based upon county of district where student was enrolled

Summary of SCFF

- Continually evolving model that needs to be monitored to ensure that districts are meeting requirements and intent
- Districts need to plan to optimize ability to generate revenue
- Overall goal is to increase student achievements and close the equity gap, something that all community college faculty and staff are dedicated to accomplishing!

